Sandrine Codis IAP

# Density PDF and density profile in low-density regions: 

 an alternative probe for Euclid era cosmology?
## Messages to bring back home:

$>$ we are able to predict very accurately $\mathbf{N}$-pt statistics in the non-linear regime using Count-In-Cells statistics : low-redshift observables have analytical and cosmology-dependent predictions e.g I\% on $\mathrm{P}(\rho) @ \mathrm{z}=0.7$
> at tree order, everything is encoded in the dynamics of the spherical collapse
$>$ we are able to do the theory of the slope of the density field:
Cosmic scatter is reduced in low-density regions motivating the study of void profiles.

$$
s=R_{1} \frac{\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}}{R_{2}-R_{1}}
$$

## Introduction :

 Basics of perturbation theory

## A self-gravitating expanding dust fluid

The Vlasov-Poisson equations (collision-less Boltzmann equation) $-f(x, p)$ is the phase space density distribution

- are fully nonlinear.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{\mathrm{d} f}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t)+\frac{\mathbf{p}}{m a^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t)-m \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \Phi(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t)=0 \\
\Delta \Phi(\mathbf{x})=\frac{4 \pi G m}{a}\left(\int f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t) \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{p}-\bar{n}\right)
\end{array}
$$

The rules of the game:
> single flow equations

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta(\mathbf{x}, t)+\frac{1}{a}\left[(1+\delta(\mathbf{x}, t)) \mathbf{u}_{i}(\mathbf{x}, t)\right]_{, i}=0
$$

Peebles 1980; Fry 1984;
Bernardeau, Colombi, Gaztañaga, Scoccimarro, 2002

$$
\Phi_{, i i}(\mathbf{x}, t)-4 \pi G \bar{\rho} a^{2} \delta(\mathbf{x}, t)=0
$$

$>$ it is possible to analytically expand the cosmic fields with respect to initial density fields

$$
\delta(\mathbf{x}, t)=\delta^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}, t)+\delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}, t)+\ldots
$$

## Example of contribution to the 3 and 5-point correlation functions at tree order


it has a non-trivial dependence on the wave vectors through the functions F3 and F2

## Charting PT

number of loops in standard PT for Gaussian Initial Conditions

|  | Tree order <br> LO | I-loop <br> NLO | 2-loops <br> NNLO | 2.5 <br> loops | 3-loops | ...p-loops |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-point <br> statistics | OK | OK | OK | EFT | partial exact <br> results | partial resum |
| 3-point <br> statistics | OK | OK (but not <br> systematics) |  |  |  | partial <br> resummations |
| 4-point <br> statistics | OK | to be done... <br> (cosmic <br> variance) |  |  |  |  |
| N-point <br> statistics | OK, in specific <br> (coumerries <br> (counss in cells) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Charting PT

number of loops in standard PT for Gaussian Initial Conditions

The trick of the spherical collapse leads to analytic predictions in the non-linear regime @ few percent level until $\sigma^{2} \sim 0.7$ !!

## Density PDFs in

 concentric cellsdescription of full joint PDF densities in concentric cells:

$$
P\left(\rho\left(R_{1}\right), \rho_{\downarrow}\left(R_{2}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \rho\left(R_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} \rho\left(R_{2}\right)
$$

## The spherical collapse: the solution

 for specific initial conditions| The radius <br> evolution |
| :---: |$\quad \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} R}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}}=-\frac{G M(<R)}{R^{2}}$



The exact non-linear mapping for spherically symmetric initial field (for growing mode setting)

For spherical symmetry perturbations there exists a function $\zeta$ that gives the density at time $\eta$ knowing the density $\rho_{0}$ within the same Lagrangian radius at time $\eta_{0}$,
$\zeta_{\rho}\left(\eta, \rho_{0}, \eta_{0}\right)$ cosmology-dependent!

## The mathematical part, construction of the whole cumulant generating function

 (multi-dimensional Laplace transform of joint-PDFs) :$$
\varphi\left(\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}\right)=\sum_{p_{i}=0}^{\infty}\left\langle\Pi_{i} \rho_{i}^{p_{i}}\right\rangle_{c} \frac{\Pi_{i} \lambda_{i}^{p_{i}}}{\Pi_{i} p_{i}!} \simeq \lambda_{i}\left\langle\rho_{i}\right\rangle+\lambda_{i} \lambda_{j}\left\langle\rho_{i} \rho_{j}\right\rangle+\ldots
$$

$$
\exp \left[\varphi\left(\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}\right)\right]=\mathcal{M}\left(\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}\right)=\left\langle\exp \left(\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \rho_{i}\right)\right\rangle
$$

$$
\text { initial density contrast }=\int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{i} \mathrm{~d} \rho_{i} P\left(\left\{\rho_{k}\right\}\right) \exp \left(\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \rho_{i}\right)
$$

$$
\exp \left[\varphi\left(\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}\right)\right]=\int_{\text {known Gaussian pdf involving the linear power spectrum }} \mathcal{D}[\tau(\vec{x})] \mathcal{P}[\tau(\vec{x})] \exp \left(\lambda_{i} \rho_{i}[\tau(\vec{x})]\right)
$$

Principle of the calculations : in the small variance approximation one can look for the most probable configuration - for fixed $\rho_{i}$ - and compute the resulting cumulant generating function using the steepest-descent method.
The (conjectured) solution for spherical cells : an initial spherical perturbation the profile of which can be computed from spherical collapse solution.

$$
\rho_{i}=\zeta_{\mathrm{SC}}\left(\tau_{i}\right)
$$

one-to-one mapping

## Application 1: 1-cell PDF

The inverse Laplace transform,

$$
\mathcal{P}\left(\hat{\rho}_{1}\right)=\int_{-\mathrm{i} \infty}^{+\mathrm{i} \infty} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \lambda_{1}}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \exp \left(-\lambda_{1} \hat{\rho}_{1}+\varphi\left(\lambda_{1}\right)\right)
$$

requires integration into the complex plane.

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(\rho)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \sqrt{\frac{\partial^{2} \Psi(\rho)}{\partial \rho^{2}}} \exp [-\Psi(\rho)] \quad P(\rho)=\frac{3 a_{\frac{3}{2}}}{4 \sqrt{\pi}} \exp \left(\varphi^{(c)}-\lambda^{(c)} \rho\right) \frac{1}{\left(\rho+r_{1}+r_{2} / \rho+\ldots\right)^{5 / 2}}: \\
\text { low-density approximation }
\end{gathered}
$$



## Application 1: 1-cell PDF

The inverse Laplace transform,

$$
\mathcal{P}\left(\hat{\rho}_{1}\right)=\int_{-\mathrm{i} \infty}^{+\mathrm{i} \infty} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \lambda_{1}}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \exp \left(-\lambda_{1} \hat{\rho}_{1}+\varphi\left(\lambda_{1}\right)\right)
$$

requires integration into the complex plane.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(\rho)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \sqrt{\frac{\partial^{2} \Psi(\rho)}{\partial \rho^{2}}} \exp [-\Psi(\rho)] \quad P(\rho)=\frac{3 a_{\frac{3}{2}}}{4 \sqrt{\pi}} \exp \left(\varphi^{(c)}-\lambda^{(c)} \rho\right) \frac{1}{\text { low-density approximation }} \text { large-density approximation } \\
& \text { functions of the cosmology via } \\
& \text { the power spectrum }
\end{aligned}
$$



## Comparison with simulations: the 1-cell PDF

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(500 h^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}\right)^{\wedge} 3 \\
& R=10 h^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}
\end{aligned}
$$

agreement even deeply in the nonlinear regime, in the rare event tails of the PDF!


## Application 2 (two cells):

 Density slopes
## and profiles

$$
s=R_{1} \frac{\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}}{R_{2}-R_{1}}
$$

## The 2-cell cumulant generating function

The density slope : $s=R_{1} \frac{\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}}{R_{2}-R_{1}}$ The global shape of the joint cumulant generating
 function of the density slope, $s$, with the density $\rho_{1}$ (an observable itself):

critical lines $=$ stationary constraint is singular

signal to noise > $10 \%$

$$
\left\langle\exp \left(\lambda_{1} \rho_{1}+\lambda_{2} \rho_{2}\right)\right\rangle \rightarrow \infty
$$

## The PDF of density slope

The density slope :


$$
s=R_{1} \frac{\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}}{R_{2}-R_{1}}
$$

One can consider the joint cumulant generating function of the density slope, $s$, with the density $\rho_{1}$ :


## to

[conjugatt the slope]

$\lambda$ [conjugate to the density]

Similarly to the 1-cell density PDF one can then compute the one-point density profile PDF.
$P(s)=\int_{-\mathrm{i} \infty+\epsilon}^{+\mathrm{i} \infty+\epsilon} \frac{\mathrm{d} \lambda_{2}}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \exp \left[-\lambda_{2} s+\varphi\left(-\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{2}\right)\right]$


## The expected slope given a density constraint


slope? expectation value? cosmic variance?

Best predictions are in the low-density regime.This is where saddle-point corrections are less important.

Impact of scale dependence of the power spectrum index



## The profile shape

(expected density as a function of the radius)

Expected density as a function of radius given a constraint at a given scale.

$\rho_{2}$ ?

expectation value? cosmic variance?
as a function of $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ ?

## The profile shape

(expected density as a function of the radius)

Expected density as a function of radius given a constraint at a given scale.

$\rho_{2}$ ?

## The profile shape

(expected density as a function of the radius)

Expected density as a function of radius given a constraint at a given scale.



## Predictions

 VS numerical simulations (expectation+scatter)
the cosmic scatter is reduced in low-density regions

## fiducial cosmological experiment

Prediction for full joint PDF densities in concentric cells:

$$
P\left(\rho\left(R_{1}\right), \rho\left(R_{2}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \rho\left(R_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} \rho\left(R_{2}\right)
$$

which is gravity and cosmology-dependent through the linear power spectrum and the dynamics of the spherical collapse.

# fiducial cosmological experiment 

Prediction for full joint PDF densities in concentric cells:

$$
P\left(\rho\left(R_{1}\right), \rho\left(R_{2}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \rho\left(R_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} \rho\left(R_{2}\right)
$$

which is gravity and cosmology-dependent through the linear power spectrum and the dynamics of the spherical collapse.

## fiducial cosmological experiment

-power-law power spectrum with index ns (-2.5)
-we assume that the PDF is well-described by its saddle-point approximation and depends on 2 parameters: $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{s}}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ (which parametrizes the spherical collapse, $3 / 2$ here)

Prediction for full joint PDF densities in concentric cells:

$$
P\left(\rho\left(R_{1}\right), \rho\left(R_{2}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \rho\left(R_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} \rho\left(R_{2}\right)
$$

which is gravity and cosmology-dependent through the linear power spectrum and the dynamics of the spherical collapse.

# fiducial cosmological experiment 

-power-law power spectrum with index ns (-2.5)
-we assume that the PDF is well-described by its saddle-point approximation and depends on 2
parameters: $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{s}}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ (which parametrizes the spherical collapse, $3 / 2$ here)
$-n=2,000$ and II,000 measurements corresponding to a survey volume of $\left(200 h^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}\right)^{\wedge} 3$ and $(360$ $\left.h^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}\right)^{\wedge} 3$

Prediction for full joint PDF densities in concentric cells:

$$
P\left(\rho\left(R_{1}\right), \rho\left(R_{2}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \rho\left(R_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} \rho\left(R_{2}\right)
$$

which is gravity and cosmology-dependent through the linear power spectrum and the dynamics of the spherical collapse.

## fiducial cosmological experiment


[encodes modifications of gravity]
-power-law power spectrum with index ns (-2.5) -we assume that the PDF is well-described by its saddle-point approximation and depends on 2 parameters: $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{s}}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ (which parametrizes the spherical collapse, $3 / 2$ here)
$-n=2,000$ and II,000 measurements corresponding to a survey volume of $\left(200 h^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}\right)^{\wedge} 3$ and $(360$ $\left.h^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}\right)^{\wedge} 3$

## loglikelihood contours of the data at I, 3 and 5 sigma

## Messages to bring back home:

$>$ we are able to predict very accurately $\mathbf{N}$-pt statistics in the non-linear regime using Count-

In-Cells statistics : low-redshift observables have analytical and cosmology-dependent predictions e.g I\%

$$
\text { on P( } \rho \text { ) @ z=0.7 }
$$

$>$ at tree order, everything is encoded in the dynamics of the spherical collapse
$>$ we are able to do the theory of the slope of the density field: cosmic scatter is reduced in low-density regions motivating the study of void profiles.
$>$ These calculations can be applied to projected mass maps

